

PROGRAM INFORMATION	Program	Power Line Construction & Maintenance
	Submitted by:	Michael Plooster
	Year	2023-2024
	Date of Review	2024-01-05
	Form Key	792d1388-41c3-475b-8203-cf6a1985f5b1
PROGRAM OUTCOMES	Explain how program outcomes were reviewed this year (i.e., input from advisory board, instructors, students, etc.) and changes made, if any:	Reviewed course with advisory board and saw needs for course revision. Also took suggestions from students on what could be improved to allow for smoother instruction.
	Outcomes are up to date in WIDS and on the program's web page	Yes
SYLLABI	ADA Statement	Yes
	Competencies	Yes
	Course Description	Yes
	Course Title and Number	Yes
	Credit Hours	Yes
	Freedom of Expression Statement	Yes
	Grading Criteria	Yes
	Instructor	Yes
	Academic Integrity Policy	Yes
	Nondiscrimination Statement	Yes
	Office Hours/Contact Information	Yes
	Prerequisites	Yes
	Required Text(s)	Yes
	Syllabi Up to Date in WIDS	Yes
	Explain which syllabi were reviewed this year; input received from advisory board, instructors, students, etc. regarding course objectives and textbooks used; and changes made, if any.	Reviewed PL 151 and PL 154 and instituted changes from suggestions that were brought forward from the advisory board and student input.
PROGRAM COMPETENCIES	Explain how competencies were reviewed this year (i.e., input from advisory board, instructors, students, etc.) and changes made, if any:	Review was done by a combination of advisory board and instructors.
	WIDS Up to Date	Yes
COURSE ASSESSMENTS USED IN PROGRAM CHECKLIST	Capstone	No
	Class Participation	Yes
	Clinical/internship observations	No
	Examinations	Yes
	Comprehensive Final Exams	Yes

	Journals	No
	Lab Demonstrations	Yes
	Oral Examinations/Presentations	Yes
	Other Projects	Yes
	Peer Evaluations	No
	Portfolio Projects	No
	Quizzes	Yes
	Self-Evaluations	No
	Simulations	Yes
	Videos of Student Mastery	No
	Written Essays	Yes
	Written Reports	No
<b>ALIGNED AND APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENTS</b>	Assessments used in the program are matched to the outcomes/competencies for the program.	Yes
	Explain changes in the assessments used in your program since your last review (include input received and rationale).	Changed to add different terminations per the advisory board
	Give examples of how assessments used in the program reflect higher-level thinking skills, such as applications, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation.	Different terminations add diversity and more tools in the toolbox for realtime applications.
<b>INDUSTRY CERTIFICATIONS</b>	Explain any changes made or planned in the program based on assessment of industry certifications used in the program.	No changes are made or added to the certifications
<b>INTERNSHIPS/ CLINICALS</b>	How do you evaluate program competencies or learning objectives during internship/externship experiences?	not applicable
	As you reviewed results of internship/externship evaluations, what curriculum changes were made or are planned in your program?	not applicable

<b>ENROLLMENT</b>	Does the most recent year's data meet this benchmark? If not, explain a single-year anomaly or explain what strategies your program will implement to address a pattern (two or more years) of not reaching this benchmark.	Yes it does. We are at 92%. capacity changed to 110.
<b>RETENTION</b>	Does the most recent year's data meet this benchmark? If not, explain a single-year anomaly or explain what strategies your program will implement to address a pattern (two or more years) of not reaching this benchmark.	Yes it does, we are at 94%.
<b>GRADUATION</b>	Does the most recent year's data meet this benchmark? If not, explain a single-year anomaly or explain what strategies your program will implement to address a pattern (two or more years) of not reaching this benchmark.	Yes it does, we are at 94%.
<b>PLACEMENT</b>	Does the most recent year's data meet this benchmark? If not, explain a single-year anomaly or explain what strategies your program will implement to address a pattern (two or more years) of not reaching this benchmark.	Yes, we are at 89%.
<b>STUDENT SATISFACTION</b>	If this benchmark is not met, what strategy or strategies will be implemented to address this measure?	N/A
<b>ALUMNI SATISFACTION</b>	If this benchmark is not met, what strategy or strategies will be implemented to address this measure?	N/A
<b>EMPLOYER SATISFACTION</b>	If this benchmark is not met, what strategy or strategies will be implemented to address this measure?	N/A
<b>PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT</b>	What professional development activities have instructors in this program completed in the last year?	Instructors attend and complete webinars, conferences, and industry trainings.

	How were these activities used to improve this program?	Implemented learning from activities attended to the program.
PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS	Do faculty members belong to professional organizations associated with this program?	Yes
	If no, explain why.	
	Are students made aware of the professional organizations for their career field?	Yes
ADVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS	What changes were or will be made to this program based on feedback provided at the past year's advisory board meeting(s)?	Board suggested to implement T-bodies to the construction of Underground Construction. Received new equipment and tools to further enhance training.
	Implementation Date	2024-01-05
	Indicate the personnel responsible for implementing the change(s):	Michael Plooster, Nicole Grosz, Jerry Ehlke
PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLANS AND BUDGET	As you review this past year, what changes do you propose for the next school year that will affect the program's budget?	Metering school attendance, material and cable needs, new line/service trucks, attend IQ National Powerline Convention to enhance trainings in real time to industry. Attend more recruiting events that will attract a different demographic.
	Cost	\$750,000.00